

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			plantin Shraphu e	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,421	09/16/2003	Ryan Bremer	039363-0703	3203
30542 7590 01/19/2007 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 8027	-		NASHED, NASHAAT T	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278		4	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/664,421	BREMER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Nashaat T. Nashed, Ph. D.	1656
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply sation. Bry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matters	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-19 and 120-141 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-19 and 120-141 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	withdrawn from consideration. cted. n and/or election requirement. xaminer.	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. correction is required if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents.	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Appl he priority documents have been rec Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-83) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/13/06 and 8/21/06.	4) Interview Sumr 948) Paper No(s)/M 5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	ail Date

Application/Control Number: 10/664,421

Art Unit: 1656

The application has been amended as requested in the communication filed November 2, 2006. Accordingly, claims 15-17, 19, 120-129, 132, 134, and 135 have been amended.

Claims 15-19 and 120-141 are pending and under consideration.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-19, and 120-141 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2004/0146942 ('942, IDS reference: A3, Weihe *et al.*) in view of the state of the art as exemplified by U. S. patent 6,197,495 ('495), 6,465,484 ('484), and WO 01/87887 ('887).

Claims 15-19, and 120-141 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mochizuki *et al.* (IDS reference: A187, J. Biol. Chem. 1999, 274, 18659-18666) in view of the state of the art as exemplified by U. S. patent 6,197,495 ('495), 6,465,484 ('484), and WO 01/87887 ('887).

In response to the above rejections, applicants amended the claims and traverse the rejection and argue that the prior art patent does not identify all elelements of the claimed method, and independent claims 129 and 134 have not been addressed in the previous Office action.

Applicants' arguments filed 11/2/06 have been fully considered, but they are found unpersuasive. All claims including independent claims 129 and 134 have been addressed in the previous Office action. The phrase molecular scaffold is understood by the examiner to mean a lead compound or a pharmacophore. See the definition in the specification at page 7, paragraph 15. Independent claim 129 and 134 require three steps. The first is identifying a molecular scaffold, presumably, through the use of the atomic coordinates of the instant application using commercially available computers and software available software or selecting a known compound that inhibit protein kinases, see both '484 and '887 patents. The second and third steps require using said computers and software to identify how the selected scaffold bound the PIM-1 and identify derivatives that improve the binding to PIM-1. The three steps are carried out *in silico*, i.e., within the computer environment using commercially available computers and software. Even, the lead compounds were known in the prior art, see the '484 and

Application/Control Number: 10/664,421 Page 3

Art Unit: 1656

'887 patents. The only difference between the cited prior art and the claimed invention are the atomic coordinates taught in the specification, which is non-functional descriptive material. A method used for its known purpose to compare data sets does not become nonobious merely because a new data becomes available for analysis. Nonfunctional descriptive material cannot render nonobvious an invention that has otherwise been obvious. See *In re Gulak*, 703 F2d 1381, 1385 (Fed. Cir. 1983). Also, the ordinary skill in the art would have motivation to synthesize various derivatives of those compounds taught in prior art such as those in '484 and '887 patents to identify more specific and selective inhibitors of the kinase activity of PIM-1.

No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nashaat T. Nashed, Ph. D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0934. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen K. Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,421

Art Unit: 1656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nashaat T. Nashed, Ph. D.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1656